arXiv:1409.0143v3 [math.AP] 1 Nov 2015

RADIAL SYMMETRY ON THREE-DIMENSIONAL SHELLS IN THE LANDAU-DE GENNES THEORY

GIACOMO CANEVARI, MYTHILY RAMASWAMY, AND APALA MAJUMDAR

Abstract. We study the radial-hedgehog solution on a three-dimensional (3D) spherical shell with radial boundary conditions, within the Landau-de Gennes theory for nematic liquid crystals. We prove that the radial-hedgehog solution is the unique minimizer of the Landau-de Gennes energy in two separate regimes: (i) for thin shells when the temperature is below the critical nematic supercooling temperature and (ii) for a fixed shell width at sufficiently low temperatures. In case (i), we provide explicit geometry-dependent criteria for the global minimality of the radial-hedgehog solution.

1. Introduction

Nematic liquid crystals are anisotropic liquids with long-range orientational ordering i.e. the constituent molecules have no translational order but exhibit directional order in the sense that they tend to align along certain distinguished directions [4, 20]. Nematic liquid crystals have generated tremendous academic interest in recent years, partly for fundamental scientific reasons and partly for their widespread applications in materials science and nano-technology [13]. Defects in liquid crystals fascinate mathematicians and applied scientists alike and there has been substantial recent analytical work on defects, following the seminal work of Schopohl and Sluckin in [24]. Whilst defects pose numerous mathematical and applications-oriented challenges for liquid crystal research, it is also important to rigorously analyze defect-free configurations and in particular, rigorously characterize model situations where we expect to see stable defect-free nematic configurations and if such defect-free states can be exploited for new applications.

Continuum theories for nematics e.g. Oseen-Frank, Ericksen and Landau-de Gennes theories, of which the Landau-de Gennes theory is the most general, have received considerable attention in the mathematical and modelling literature [10, 5, 15]. The radial-hedgehog solution is the classical example of a point defect on a three-dimensional spherical droplet, in the Landau-de Gennes theory [4, 27]. The radial-hedgehog solution has a relatively straightforward structure: the molecules point radially outwards everywhere away from the droplet centre, with a disordered "isotropic" defect core located at the centre. The radial-hedgehog solution has received substantial mathematical interest in recent years [17, 11, 14, 12, 3]. This is, to some extent, because the radial-hedgehog solution is a relatively rare example of an explicit critical point of the Landau-de Gennes energy functional and therefore, naturally more amenable to analytical methods. Further, the radial-hedgehog solution is analogous to the degree +1-vortex in the Ginzburg-Landau theory for superconductivity [2]. The degree +1-vortex is a well studied solution in the Ginzburg-Landau community [2, 19, 22]. This means that we can borrow several ideas and methods from Ginzburg-Landau theory to address non-trivial questions about the structure and stability of the radial-hedgehog solution. However, there is a crucial difference between Ginzburg-Landau theory and Landau-de Gennes theory. In the Ginzburg-Landau

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35 35J20 35B06 76A15.

Key words and phrases. Nematic liquid crystals, Landau-de Gennes theory, radial-hedeghog, minimizing configurations, stable configurations.

GC's present address is: Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Andrew Wiles Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, United Kingdom.

AM is the *corresponding author*. AM's research is also supported by an EPSRC Career Acceleration Fellowship EP/J001686/1, an OCIAM Visiting Fellowship and the Keble Advanced Studies Centre.

framework, we typically deal with three-dimensional vectors on $\mathbb{R}^3$ i.e. maps $\mathbf{u}\colon \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$, or more generally, N-dimensional vectors defined on $\mathbb{R}^N$. When we work with the Landau-de Gennes theory, we study a nonlinear coupled system of partial differential equations for a five-dimensional tensor-valued $\mathbf{Q}$-order parameter defined on a three-dimensional domain i.e. we study maps, $\mathbf{Q}\colon \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^5$. There are two additional degrees of freedom which can drastically alter the solution landscape in spite of apparent mathematical similarities between the Landau-de Gennes system and the Ginzburg-Landau system [18, 11].

For example, in [19, 22], the authors prove that the degree +1-vortex solution is the unique solution (up to translation and rotation) of the Ginzburg-Landau equations on $\mathbb{R}^3$, subject to certain natural energy bounds and topologically non-trivial boundary conditions. However, it is known that the analogous radial-hedgehog solution loses stability in the Landau-de Gennes framework, on a three-dimensional droplet with radial boundary conditions, for sufficiently low temperatures, see [26, 7, 11, 17]. The geometry and the boundary conditions enforce the radial-hedgehog solution to have an "isotropic" core at the droplet center and the isotropic core is energetically expensive for low temperatures, leading to the instability with respect to higher-dimensional perturbations.

In this paper, we focus on radial equilibria on a 3D spherical shell, bounded by two spherical surfaces with radial boundary conditions on both the inner and outer spherical surfaces. Nematics in spherical shells have received much interest since Nelson's seminal work in 2002 [21]. Since then, it has been widely recognized that nematics in shells offer ample scope for generating novel non-singular and singular nematic textures and these textures can be controlled by shell thickness, shell heterogeneity (concentric versus non-concentric shells), temperature and material elastic constants [25, 8]. In some cases, these textures in 3D shells naturally exhibit defects and these defects can act as binding sites or functionalization sites, leading to new material possibilities [25, 8].

We, firstly, prove the existence of a radial-hedgehog type solution on a 3D spherical shell with radial boundary conditions i.e. an explicit critical point of the Landau-de Gennes energy with perfect radial symmetry. In Section 3, we provide an analytical description of this radial-hedgehog solution, by analogy with similar work on a 3D droplet. The radial-hedgehog solution is defined by a scalar order parameter, h, which vanishes at isotropic points or defect points [11, 17]. We show that the radial-hedgehog solution has no isotropic/zero points on a 3D spherical shell, for all temperatures below the nematic supercooling temperature. In other words, the radial-hedgehog solution is a defect-free equilibrium for this model problem. For a concentric shell without external fields, as considered in our manuscript, the stability of the radial-hedgehog solution is controlled by temperature, shell width and material elastic constants. In the limit of vanishing elastic constants, one can prove that minimizers of a relatively simple Landau-de Gennes energy converge uniformly to the radial-hedgehog solution on a 3D spherical shell with Dirichlet radial boundary conditions, by appealing to the results in [18]. We work with fixed elastic constants and instead focus on the interplay between temperature and shell width in this paper.

The two key theorems in this paper are stated below. In Section 4, we focus on narrow shells with no restriction on the temperature t, except for that $t \geq 0$ so that we are working with temperatures below the critical nematic supercooling temperature.

Theorem 1. *Let* $\Omega := \left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \colon 1 \leq |\mathbf{x}| \leq R\right\}$ *and*

$$R < \min\left\{R_0 := \exp\left(\frac{4\pi^2}{23}\right),\, R^*\right\}$$

where R^* *is defined in Proposition 3.1. Then the radial-hedgehog solution is the unique global minimizer of the Landau-de Gennes problem* (LG) *in the admissible class* $\mathcal{A}$ *defined in* (2.5) *(see Section 2), for all temperatures below the critical nematic supercooling temperature.*

In [9], Golovaty and Berlyand prove uniqueness and radial symmetry of the minimizer on a thin 2D annulus, in the Ginzburg-Landau theory. Theorem 1 is an analogous result for a thin 3D

shell in the Landau-de Gennes theory, with a method of proof based on the Landau-de Gennes energy itself.

In Section 5, we study the effect of the reduced temperature, t, on the stability of the radial-hedgehog solution. Our second main result demonstrates the global minimality of the radial-hedgehog solution in the $t \to \infty$ limit.

Theorem 2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a 3D spherical shell as defined above. There exists $\tau \geq 0$ such that, for any $R > 1$ and any temperature $t \geq \tau$, the radial-hedgehog is the unique global minimizer for Problem* (LG).

Our mathematical strategy is similar for Theorems 1 and 2. In Theorem 1, we compute an explicit sub-solution for the order parameter, h, that only depends on the shell width and is independent of t. In particular, we can use the shell width to uniformly control the magnitude of h, a property which is absent for spherical droplets. We prove the global minimality of the radial-hedgehog solution by writing the energy of an arbitrary nematic state (in the admissible class $\mathcal{A}$ defined in (2.5)) as the sum of the second variation of the Landau-de Gennes energy about the radial-hedgehog solution and the higher-order cubic and quartic contributions. We control the second variation by means of a Poincaré-type inequality, purely in terms of the shell width, and use algebraic methods to prove the non-negativity of the residual terms.

In Theorem 2, we show that the temperature t uniformly controls the magnitude of h, for all fixed shell widths independent of t. We again write the energy of an arbitrary nematic state as the sum of the second variation of the Landau-de Gennes energy about the radial-hedgehog solution and the higher-order cubic and quartic contributions. We control the second variation by adapting arguments in [12]; in particular, we derive an explicit positive lower bound for the second variation which gives us greater control on the residual cubic and quartic energy terms. In particular, the sum of the cubic and quartic energy terms can be negative for large t, so global minimality is not guaranteed by non-negativity of the second variation alone. The improved lower bound for the second variation allows us to control the problematic (potentially negative) terms in the energy expansion for sufficiently large t, leading to the desired conclusion above.

The radial-hedgehog solution is a defect-free radial equilibrium for this model problem. A rigorous analysis of defect-free equilibria is the first step in the analysis of generic nematic equilibria in shells and from an applications perspective, radial equilibria can also act as binding sites or attractors for microparticles with compatible boundary conditions, leading to new material possibilities. We prove our results with a Dirichlet radial boundary condition. However, we expect them to be true with surface anchoring potentials too, for sufficiently large values of the anchoring strength. Further, the global minimality of the radial-hedgehog solution on a shell may seem intuitive to some readers. Whilst the passage from physical intuition to mathematical proof is always worthwhile, it is important to point out that global minimizers of the Ginzburg-Landau energy on 2D annuli, with fixed topological degree on the boundary (compatible with the radial-hedgehog solution in 2D), lose radial symmetry and develop vortices/defects for thick annuli or large annulus width, in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit [1]. The $\epsilon \to 0$ limit mimics, to some extent, the $t \to \infty$ limit in the Landau-de Gennes theory. Therefore, a rigorous proof of the global minimality of the defect-free radial-hedgehog solution on a 3D shell, in the Landau-de Gennes framework, in the $t \to \infty$ limit, excludes such possibilities.

2. Preliminaries

We work within the Landau-de Gennes theory for nematic liquid crystals wherein the nematic configuration is described by the $\mathbf{Q}$-tensor order parameter [4]. Mathematically, the $\mathbf{Q}$-tensor is a symmetric, traceless 3×3 matrix. Let S_0 denote the space of all symmetric, traceless 3×3 matrices defined by

$$S_0 := \left\{ \mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{M}^{3\times 3} \colon \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mathbf{Q}_{ii} = 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{Q}_{ij} = \mathbf{Q}_{ji} \text{ for } i,j = 1,2,3 \right\}.$$

The domain is a 3D spherical shell, with outer radius R and inner radius set to unity, as shown below

$$\Omega := \left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \colon 1 \leq |\mathbf{x}| \leq R\right\} \quad \text{where} \quad R > 1.$$

A $\mathbf{Q}$-tensor is said to be (i) isotropic when $\mathbf{Q} = 0$, (ii) uniaxial when $\mathbf{Q}$ has two degenerate non-zero eigenvalues and (iii) biaxial when $\mathbf{Q}$ has three distinct eigenvalues [4, 27]. A uniaxial $\mathbf{Q}$-tensor can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{Q}_u = s\left(\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{3}\right)$$

for a real-valued order parameter, s, and a unit-vector field $\mathbf{n} \in S^2$ i.e. $\mathbf{Q}_u$ has three degrees of freedom whereas a biaxial $\mathbf{Q}$-tensor uses all five degrees of freedom. In physical terms, a uniaxial $\mathbf{Q}$-tensor corresponds to a nematic configuration with a single distinguished direction of molecular alignment whereas a biaxial $\mathbf{Q}$-tensor corresponds to a configuration with two preferred directions of molecular alignment.

We consider a simple form of the Landau-de Gennes energy given by [4, 20]

$$I[\mathbf{Q}] := \int_\Omega \frac{L}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{Q}|^2 + f_B\left(\mathbf{Q}\right) \, \mathrm{d}V.$$

In what follows, we assume that the elastic constant $L > 0$ is fixed once and for all, since the $L \to 0$ limit has been well-studied in recent years [18]. We use Einstein summation convention throughout the paper i.e. $|\nabla \mathbf{Q}|^2 = \mathbf{Q}_{ij,k}\mathbf{Q}_{ij,k}$ and $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$. The bulk potential, f_B, drives the nematic-isotropic phase transition and for the purposes of this paper, we take f_B to be a quartic polynomial in the $\mathbf{Q}$-tensor invariants as shown below:

$$f_B(\mathbf{Q}) := \frac{A}{2}\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{Q}^2 - \frac{B}{3}\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{Q}^3 + \frac{C}{4}\left(\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{Q}^2\right)^2,$$

$\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{Q}^2 = \mathbf{Q}_{ij}\mathbf{Q}_{ij}$, $\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{Q}^3 = \mathbf{Q}_{ij}\mathbf{Q}_{jp}\mathbf{Q}_{pi}$ and $i, j, p = 1, 2, 3$. We have $A = \alpha(T - T^*)$, where $\alpha > 0$ is a material-dependent constant, T is the temperature and T^* is the critical nematic supercooling temperature [16, 20]. We work with temperatures $T \leq T^*$, so that $A \leq 0$, and we treat B, $C > 0$ to be fixed material-dependent constants.

For $A \leq 0$, a standard computation shows that f_B attains its minimum on the set of *uniaxial* $\mathbf{Q}$-tensors given by [16]

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\min} := \left\{\mathbf{Q} \in S_0 \colon \mathbf{Q} = s_+\left(\mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{3}\right)\right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

$\mathbf{n} \in S^2$ is an arbitrary unit vector and

$$s_+ := \frac{B + \sqrt{B^2 + 24|A|C}}{4C}.$$

We introduce the scalings

$$\begin{aligned} t &:= \frac{27|A|C}{B^2}; \qquad & h_+ &:= \frac{3 + \sqrt{9 + 8t}}{4} \\ \bar{L} &:= \frac{27CL}{2B^2}; \qquad & \bar{\mathbf{Q}} &:= \frac{1}{s_+}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\mathbf{Q} \\ \bar{\mathbf{x}} &:= \bar{L}^{-1/2}\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily verify that

$$s_+ = \frac{B}{3C}h_+; \quad 2h_+^2 = 3h_+ + t.$$

In what follows, we refer to t as the reduced temperature and always work with $t \geq 0$. The re-scaled domain is

$$\bar{\Omega} := \left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \colon \bar{L}^{-1/2} \leq |\bar{\mathbf{x}}| \leq \bar{L}^{-1/2}R\right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

We measure the dimensionless length in units of $\bar{L}^{1/2}$, hence we can assume WLOG that $\bar{L} = 1$ and (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\bar{\Omega} = \left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \colon 1 \leq |\bar{\mathbf{x}}| \leq R\right\}$$

where $R > 1$ is the dimensionless outer radius.

We drop the *bars* in what follows and all statements are to be understood in terms of the re-scaled variables. The re-scaled Landau-de Gennes functional is given by

$$I[\mathbf{Q}] = \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{Q}|^2 + \frac{t}{8}\left[\left(1 - |\mathbf{Q}|^2\right)^2 + \frac{h_+}{t}\left(1 + 3|\mathbf{Q}|^4 - 4\sqrt{6}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{Q}^3\right)\right] \mathrm{d}V. \tag{2.3}$$

The re-scaled bulk potential corresponds to $f_B(\mathbf{Q}) - \min_{\mathbf{Q}\in S_0} f_B(\mathbf{Q})$, where we have introduced an additive constant to make the bulk energy density non-negative.

We impose Dirichlet radial boundary conditions on the inner and outer radii as shown below:

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_b \quad \text{on } r = 1 \text{ and } r = R \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q}_b := \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \otimes \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{3}\right).$$

The unit-vector, $\hat{\mathbf{x}} := \frac{\mathbf{x}}{r}$ with $r := |\mathbf{x}|$, is the radial unit-vector. By definition, $\mathbf{Q}_b$ is perfectly uniaxial and is a minimum of the bulk potential, i.e., it takes its values in the set defined by (2.1).

We study the variational problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{Q}\in\mathcal{A}} I[\mathbf{Q}], \tag{LG}$$

where I is given by (2.3) and $\mathcal{A}$ is the admissible class defined by

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{\mathbf{Q} \in W^{1,2}\left(\Omega;\, S_0\right) : \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}_b \quad \text{on } r = 1 \text{ and } r = R\right\}. \tag{2.5}$$

The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are given by

$$\Delta \mathbf{Q}_{ij} = \frac{t}{2}\mathbf{Q}_{ij}\left(|\mathbf{Q}|^2 - 1\right) + \frac{h_+}{8}\left(12|\mathbf{Q}|^2\mathbf{Q}_{ij} - 12\sqrt{6}\mathbf{Q}_{ip}\mathbf{Q}_{pj} + 4\sqrt{6}|\mathbf{Q}|^2\delta_{ij}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

We are interested in locally stable equilibria, that is, solutions of (2.6) for which the second variation of I is positive (see Subsection 3.2 and Section 5), and global minimizers for the problem (LG).

3. The Radial-Hedgehog Solution

We define the radial-hedgehog solution to be a minimizer of the Landau-de Gennes energy (2.3) in the class of all radially-symmetric uniaxial $\mathbf{Q}$-tensors. This is analogous to the definition of the radial-hedgehog solution on a 3D spherical droplet with radial boundary conditions, as previously used in the literature [26, 11, 17].

We define the radial-hedgehog solution to be

$$\mathbf{H} := \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}h(r)\left(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \otimes \hat{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{3}\right) \tag{3.1}$$

where $h(r)$ is a minimizer of

$$E[h] := \int_1^R \frac{r^2}{2}h'^2 + 3h^2 + t\,r^2\left[\frac{(1-h^2)^2}{8} + \frac{h_+}{8t}\left(1 + 3h^4 - 4h^3\right)\right]\,\mathrm{d}r \tag{3.2}$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$h(1) = h(R) = 1. \tag{3.3}$$

This is consistent with the Dirichlet conditions defined in (2.4). The admissible space for the variational problem in (3.2) is taken to be

$$\mathcal{A}_h := \left\{h \in L^2\left([1,\, R];\, \mathrm{d}r\right) : h' \in L^2\left([1,\, R];\, r^2\mathrm{d}r\right) \text{ and } h(1) = h(R) = 1\right\}.$$

The minimizing function, $h(r) \in \mathcal{A}_h$, is a solution of the following second-order ordinary differential equation

$$h'' + \frac{2}{r}h' - \frac{6}{r^2}h = hf(h) \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$f(h) := \frac{t}{2}(h^2 - 1) + \frac{3h_+}{2}\left(h^2 - h\right), \tag{3.5}$$

subject to (3.3). One can check that $\mathbf{H}$ thus defined is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations in (2.6), i.e. $\mathbf{H}$ is a critical point of the Landau-de Gennes energy. In the subsequent sections, we investigate the local and global stability of $\mathbf{H}$ as a function of the shell width, $(R-1)$, and the reduced temperature t.

Proposition 3.1. *Define the function $\eta\colon [1, R] \to \mathbb{R}$ to be*

$$\eta(r) := \frac{1}{R^5 - 1}\left[(R^3 - 1)r^2 + (R^2 - 1)\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^3\right]. \tag{3.6}$$

Then η satisfies the following ordinary differential equation:

$$\eta'' + \frac{2}{r}\eta' - \frac{6}{r^2}\eta = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

subject to the boundary conditions $\eta(1) = \eta(R) = 1$. There exists a $R^* > 1$ such that

$$\eta(r) \geq \frac{2}{3} \quad \textit{for} \quad 1 \leq r \leq R \leq R^*.$$

Proof. One can check by substitution that η, as defined in (3.6), is indeed a solution of (3.7) subject to $\eta(1) = \eta(R) = 1$. One can compute the minimum of η as a function of R: an elementary computation shows that

$$\min_{1\leq r\leq R} \eta(r) = \frac{5\left(R^3(R^2-1)\right)^{2/5}\left(R^3-1\right)^{3/5}}{2^{2/5}3^{3/5}\left(R^5-1\right)} \xrightarrow[R\to 1]{} 1,$$

so there exists $R^* > 1$ such that

$$\eta(r) \geq \frac{2}{3} \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq r \leq R,$$

when $1 < R < R^*$. □

Proposition 3.2. *The function η, defined in* (3.6)*, is a lower bound for the function $h\colon [1, R] \to \mathbb{R}$ defined in* (3.1)–(3.4)*, i.e.,*

$$\frac{2}{3} \leq \eta(r) \leq h(r) \leq 1 \quad \textit{for} \quad 1 \leq r \leq R \leq R^*.$$

Proof. The proof is parallel to the proof in the two-dimensional case, presented in [9]. We define the function

$$\nu(r) := \eta(r) - h(r) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq r \leq R$$

where $\nu(1) = \nu(R) = 0$. We proceed by contradiction. We assume that ν has a positive maximum for $r^* \in (1, R)$. The function ν is a solution of the following second-order differential equation

$$\nu'' + \frac{2}{r}\nu' - \frac{6}{r^2}\nu = -hf(h). \tag{3.8}$$

The function $h(r)$ satisfies the bounds, $0 \leq h(r) \leq 1$; these bounds are established in [16, 11]. Therefore, the right-hand side of (3.8) is non-negative for all $1 \leq r \leq R$. This is enough to exclude a positive interior maximum and hence, we deduce that

$$\nu(r) = \eta(r) - h(r) \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq r \leq R,$$

as required. □

3.1. **Energy Expansion.** Let $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$ be an arbitrary $\mathbf{Q}$-tensor in our admissible space. Then $\mathbf{Q}$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{V}$$

with $\mathbf{V} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; S_0)$ and

$$\mathbf{V} = 0 \quad \text{on } r = 1 \text{ and } r = R,$$

since $\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{H} = 0$ on the boundaries. The first step is to compute an energy expansion for $\mathbf{Q}$ in terms of $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{V}$; direct computations show that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{Q}|^2 &= h^2 + 2(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V}) + |\mathbf{V}|^2 \\
|\mathbf{Q}|^4 &= h^4 + 4h^2(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V}) + 2h^2|\mathbf{V}|^2 + 4(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})^2 + 4(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})|\mathbf{V}|^2 + |\mathbf{V}|^4 \\
\left(1-|\mathbf{Q}|^2\right)^2 &= \left(1-h^2\right)^2 + 4(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})\left(h^2-1\right) + \\
&\qquad + 2|\mathbf{V}|^2\left(h^2-1\right) + 4(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})^2 + 4(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})|\mathbf{V}|^2 + |\mathbf{V}|^4 \\
\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{Q}^3 &= \frac{h^3}{\sqrt{6}} + 3\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{H}^2\mathbf{V}\right) + 3\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}^2\right) + \operatorname{tr}\mathbf{V}^3 \\
|\nabla\mathbf{Q}|^2 &= |\nabla\mathbf{H}|^2 + 2(\nabla\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{V}) + |\nabla\mathbf{V}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}^2\right) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}h(r)\left[(\hat{\mathbf{x}}\cdot\mathbf{V})^2 - \frac{|\mathbf{V}|^2}{3}\right].$$

The Landau-de Gennes energy of $\mathbf{Q}$ can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
I[\mathbf{Q}] = I[\mathbf{H}] &+ \int_\Omega \nabla\mathbf{H}\cdot\nabla\mathbf{V} + \frac{t}{2}(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})\left(h^2-1\right)\,\mathrm{d}V \\
&+ \frac{h_+}{8}\int_\Omega 12h^2(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V}) - 12\sqrt{6}\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{H}^2\mathbf{V}\right)\,\mathrm{d}V \\
&+ \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\mathbf{V}|^2 + \frac{t}{8}\left(4(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})^2 + 2|\mathbf{V}|^2\left(h^2-1\right)\right)\,\mathrm{d}V \\
&+ \frac{h_+}{8}\int_\Omega 6h^2|\mathbf{V}|^2 + 12(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})^2 - 12\sqrt{6}\left(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}^2\right)\,\mathrm{d}V \\
&+ \int_\Omega \frac{t}{2}(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})|\mathbf{V}|^2 + \frac{h_+}{8}\left(12(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})|\mathbf{V}|^2 - 4\sqrt{6}\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{V}^3\right)\,\mathrm{d}V \\
&+ \int_\Omega \frac{t}{8}|\mathbf{V}|^4 + \frac{3h_+}{8}|\mathbf{V}|^4\,\mathrm{d}V.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The sum of the first and the second integral (that is, all the linear terms in $\mathbf{V}$) vanishes since $\mathbf{H}$ is a critical point of the Landau-de Gennes energy.

We use the following basis for the space S_0, as introduced in [12]. Let $\mathbf{n} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and let $(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p})$ denote an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^3$. In terms of spherical polar coordinates, (r, θ, ϕ), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n} &:= (\sin\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta\sin\phi, \cos\theta) \\
\mathbf{m} &:= (\cos\theta\cos\phi, \cos\theta\sin\phi, -\sin\theta) \\
\mathbf{p} &:= (-\sin\phi, \cos\phi, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

for $0 \le \theta \le \pi$ and $0 \le \phi < 2\pi$. Following the paradigm in [12], we define

$$\mathbf{E} := \mathbf{n}\otimes\mathbf{n} - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{3}; \qquad \mathbf{F} := \mathbf{n}\otimes\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}\otimes\mathbf{n};$$
$$\mathbf{G} := \mathbf{n}\otimes\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}\otimes\mathbf{n}; \qquad \mathbf{X} := \mathbf{m}\otimes\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}\otimes\mathbf{m}; \qquad \mathbf{Y} := \mathbf{m}\otimes\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{p}\otimes\mathbf{p}$$

where $|\mathbf{E}|^2 = 2/3$ and $|\mathbf{F}|^2 = |\mathbf{G}|^2 = |\mathbf{X}|^2 = |\mathbf{Y}|^2 = 2$. Then any arbitrary $\mathbf{V} \in S_0$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{V} = v_0\mathbf{E} + v_1\mathbf{F} + v_2\mathbf{G} + v_3\mathbf{X} + v_4\mathbf{Y} \tag{3.10}$$

for functions $v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and all five functions vanish on $r = 1$ and $r = R$.

The key quantities in (3.9) can be written in terms of $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_4$, so that the energy difference, $I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}]$, is

$$\begin{aligned} I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] &= \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{V}|^2 + \frac{t}{4}|\mathbf{V}|^2 \left(h^2 - 1\right) + \frac{t}{3}h^2 v_0^2 \, \mathrm{d}V \\ &+ \int_\Omega \frac{h_+ v_0^2}{2}\left(3h^2 - 2h\right) + \frac{3h_+}{2}\left(h^2 + 2h\right)\left(v_3^2 + v_4^2\right) + \frac{3h_+}{2}\left(h^2 - h\right)\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2\right) \, \mathrm{d}V \\ &+ \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{6}} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}h_+\right)\int_\Omega h v_0 \left(\frac{2}{3}v_0^2 + 2\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2 + v_4^2\right)\right) \, \mathrm{d}V \\ &- \frac{\sqrt{6}h_+}{2}\int_\Omega \frac{2}{9}v_0^3 + v_0\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2\right) + 6v_1v_2v_3 + 3v_4\left(v_1^2 - v_2^2\right) - 2v_0\left(v_3^2 + v_4^2\right) \, \mathrm{d}V \\ &+ \frac{t + 3h_+}{8}\int_\Omega \frac{4}{9}v_0^4 + 4\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2 + v_4^2\right)^2 + \frac{8}{3}v_0^2\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_3^2 + v_4^2\right) \, \mathrm{d}V. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

3.2. **Local Stability.** We compute the second variation of the Landau-de Gennes energy (2.3) about the radial-hedgehog solution, $\mathbf{H}$ (defined in (3.1)–(3.4)). We recall that the second variation is, by definition,

$$\delta^2 I[\mathbf{H}] := \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}s^2}_{|s=0} I[\mathbf{H} + s\mathbf{V}]$$

where $\mathbf{V} \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; S_0)$ is a fixed perturbation (see [16, 11] for similar computations on a 3D droplet). By inspecting Equation (3.11) and collecting all the quadratic terms in v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, it is straightforward to verify that the second variation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2 I[\mathbf{H}] &= \int_\Omega |\nabla \mathbf{V}|^2 + \frac{t}{2}|\mathbf{V}|^2\left(h^2 - 1\right) \, \mathrm{d}V \\ &+ \int_\Omega \frac{2t}{3}h^2 v_0^2 + h_+ v_0^2\left(3h^2 - 2h\right) + 3h_+\left(h^2 + 2h\right)\left(v_3^2 + v_4^2\right) \, \mathrm{d}V \\ &+ \int_\Omega 3h_+\left(h^2 - h\right)\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2\right) \, \mathrm{d}V. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Theorem 3.3. *The radial-hedgehog solution,* $\mathbf{H}$*, is a locally stable equilibrium of the Landau-de Gennes energy* (2.3)*, in the space* $\mathcal{A}$ *i.e.*

$$\delta^2 I[\mathbf{H}] > 0$$

for all $t \geq 0$ *and*

$$1 < R < \min\left\{R^*, 1 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}}\right\},$$

where R^* *has been defined in Proposition 3.1.*

Proof. The proof follows from a Hardy-type trick. We start with the integral expression (3.12). We recall from Proposition 3.2 that for $R < R^*$, we have

$$\frac{2}{3} \leq h(r) \leq 1 \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq r \leq R$$

so that $3h^2 - 2h \geq 0$ for $r \in [1, R]$. Therefore, there are two problematic non-positive terms above in (3.12): $\frac{t}{2}|\mathbf{V}|^2\left(h^2 - 1\right)$ and $3h_+\left(h^2 - h\right)\left(v_1^2 + v_2^2\right)$. We combine the two non-positive terms so that the second variation is bounded from below by

$$\delta^2 I \geq \int_\Omega |\nabla \mathbf{V}|^2 + f(h)|\mathbf{V}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}V \tag{3.13}$$

(the function $f(h)$ has been defined in Equation (3.5)). An arbitrary $\mathbf{V}$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) = h(r)\bar{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{x})$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{V}}$ vanishes on $r = 1$ and $r = R$, since h is strictly positive for $1 \leq r \leq R$. We use integration by parts to obtain

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla \mathbf{V}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}V = \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\int_1^R \left(h^2 r^2 |\nabla \bar{\mathbf{V}}|^2 - |\bar{\mathbf{V}}|^2 h h'' r^2 - 2hh' r |\bar{\mathbf{V}}|^2\right) \sin\theta \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\phi. \tag{3.14}$$

Recalling the ordinary differential equation for $h(r)$ in (3.4), we see that

$$\int_\Omega f(h)|\mathbf{V}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}V = \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\int_1^R \left(h'' + \frac{2}{r}h' - \frac{6}{r^2}h\right) h|\bar{\mathbf{V}}|^2 r^2 \sin\theta \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\phi. \tag{3.15}$$

Combining (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\delta^2 I \geq \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^{\pi}\int_1^R h^2(r)\left(r^2|\nabla\bar{\mathbf{V}}|^2 - 6|\bar{\mathbf{V}}|^2\right)\sin\theta \, \mathrm{d}r \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\phi. \tag{3.16}$$

We now use $r \geq 1$ and Wirtinger's inequality [6]

$$\int_1^R v'^2 \, \mathrm{d}r \geq \frac{\pi^2}{(R-1)^2}\int_1^R v^2 \, \mathrm{d}r$$

for any function $v\colon [1, R] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $v(1) = v(R) = 0$, to see that $\delta^2 I > 0$ for

$$(R-1)^2 < \frac{\pi^2}{6}. \qquad \square$$

4. On the Minimality of the Hedgehog when $R-1$ is Small

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1, i.e., we assume that R satisfies

$$R < \min\left\{R_0 := \exp\left(\frac{4\pi^2}{23}\right), R^*\right\} \tag{4.1}$$

where R^* is defined in Proposition 3.1, and prove that the radial-hedgehog is energy minimizing. As a preliminary remark, we point out that the smallness assumption (4.1) on $R-1$ and Proposition 3.1 imply

$$h(r) \geq \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq r \leq R. \tag{4.2}$$

Take an admissible field $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{A}$ and set $\mathbf{V} := \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{H} \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega; S_0)$. The functions v_0, $v_1 \ldots$, v_4, are the coordinates of $\mathbf{V}$ with respect to the basis $\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{Y}$:

$$\mathbf{V} = v_0\mathbf{E} + v_1\mathbf{F} + v_2\mathbf{G} + v_3\mathbf{X} + v_4\mathbf{Y}.$$

We have an expression for the energy difference $I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}]$, namely, Equation (3.9):

$$\begin{aligned} I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] = \int_\Omega \Big\{ &\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\mathbf{V}|^2 + \frac{t}{4}|\mathbf{V}|^2(h^2-1) + \frac{t}{2}(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})^2 \\ &+ \frac{h_+}{8}\left(6h^2|\mathbf{V}|^2 + 12(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})^2 - 12\sqrt{6}\left(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}^2\right)\right) \\ &+ \frac{t}{2}(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})|\mathbf{V}|^2 + \frac{h_+}{8}\left(12(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})|\mathbf{V}|^2 - 4\sqrt{6}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{V}^3\right) \\ &+ \frac{t}{8}|\mathbf{V}|^4 + \frac{3h_+}{8}|\mathbf{V}|^4\Big\}\,\mathrm{d}V. \end{aligned}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$-12\sqrt{6}\,\mathrm{tr}\left(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{V}^2\right) = -4h\left(v_0^2 - 9v_3^2 - 9v_4^2\right) - 6h|\mathbf{V}|^2,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] &= \int_\Omega \Big\{ \frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \mathbf{V}\right|^2 + \frac{t}{4}\left|\mathbf{V}\right|^2 (h^2-1) + \frac{t}{2}(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{3h_+}{4}\left|\mathbf{V}\right|^2 (h^2-h) + \frac{h_+}{8}\left(12(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})^2 - 4h\left(v_0^2 - 9v_3^2 - 9v_4^2\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{t}{2}(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})\left|\mathbf{V}\right|^2 + \frac{h_+}{8}\left(12(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V})\left|\mathbf{V}\right|^2 - 4\sqrt{6}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{V}^3\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{t}{8}\left|\mathbf{V}\right|^4 + \frac{3h_+}{8}\left|\mathbf{V}\right|^4 \Big\}\,\mathrm{d}V \\ &= \int_\Omega \Big\{ \frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \mathbf{V}\right|^2 + f(h)\left|\mathbf{V}\right|^2 + h_+\left(-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{V}^3 + \frac{h}{2}\left(-v_0^2 + 9v_3^2 + 9v_4^2\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{t+3h_+}{8}\left(2(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V}) + \left|\mathbf{V}\right|^2\right)^2 \Big\}\,\mathrm{d}V. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

To deal with the first two terms, we write $\mathbf{V} = h\mathbf{W}$, $v_i = hw_i$ and use the Hardy decomposition trick again. With computations similar to (3.15)–(3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] &= \int_\Omega \Big\{ h^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \mathbf{W}\right|^2 - \frac{3}{r^2}\left|\mathbf{W}\right|^2\right) + h_+ h^3 \psi(\mathbf{W}) \\ &\quad + \frac{t+3h_+}{8} h^4 \left(2\left(\frac{\mathbf{H}}{h}\cdot\mathbf{W}\right) + \left|\mathbf{W}\right|^2\right)^2 \Big\}\,\mathrm{d}V \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathbf{W}) &:= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathbf{W}^3 - \frac{1}{2}w_0^2 + \frac{9}{2}w_3^2 + \frac{9}{2}w_4^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}w_0^2 + \frac{9}{2}\left(w_3^2 + w_4^2\right) + \sqrt{6}w_0\left(w_3^2 + w_4^2\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2}w_4\left(w_2^2 - w_1^2\right) - 3\sqrt{6}w_1w_2w_3 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}w_0\left(w_1^2 + w_2^2\right) - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}w_0^3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need to show $I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] \geq 0$ for any admissible $\mathbf{Q}$, with equality if and only if $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{H}$. In the following lemmas, we prove that $\psi(\mathbf{W})$ is non-negative. We then use a Poincaré-type inequality to demonstrate the positivity of the bracketed integral, $\int_\Omega h^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla\mathbf{W}\right|^2 - \frac{3}{r^2}\left|\mathbf{W}\right|^2\right)\,\mathrm{d}V$, above for small $R-1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 4.1. *Let ψ be defined by Formula* (4.5)*, we have*

$$\psi(w_0,\, w_1,\, w_2,\, w_3,\, w_4) \geq \psi\left(w_0,\, \sqrt{w_1^2 + w_2^2},\, 0,\, 0,\, \sqrt{w_3^2 + w_4^3}\right)$$

for all $(w_0,\, w_1,\, w_2,\, w_3,\, w_4) \in \mathbb{R}^5$.

Proof. Thanks to (4.5), the lemma reduces to proving that

$$\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2}w_4\left(w_2^2 - w_1^2\right) - 3\sqrt{6}w_1w_2w_3 \geq -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2}\sqrt{w_3^2 + w_4^2}\left(w_1^2 + w_2^2\right). \tag{4.6}$$

Let us consider the change of variables given by

$$w_1 = \rho\cos\theta\cos\varphi_1 \qquad w_2 = \rho\cos\theta\sin\varphi_1 \qquad w_3 = \rho\sin\theta\cos\varphi_2 \qquad w_4 = \rho\sin\theta\sin\varphi_2,$$

where

$$\rho > 0, \qquad 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \qquad 0 \leq \varphi_1,\, \varphi_2 < 2\pi.$$

Firstly, we remark that this formula defines an admissible change of variable, in the sense that $(\rho,\, \theta,\, \varphi_1,\, \varphi_2) \mapsto (w_1,\, w_2,\, w_3,\, w_4)$ gives a one-to-one and onto mapping $(0,\, +\infty) \times [0,\, \pi/2] \times$

$[0, 2\pi)^2 \to \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \{0\}$. Secondly, we write the left hand side of (4.6) in terms of the new variables and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2} w_4 \left(w_2^2 - w_1^2\right) - 3\sqrt{6} w_1 w_2 w_3 \\ &= -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2}\rho^3 \sin\theta \cos^2\theta \left(\cos(2\varphi_1)\sin\varphi_2 + \sin(2\varphi_1)\cos\varphi_2\right) \\ &= -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2}\rho^3 \sin\theta \cos^2\theta \sin\left(2\varphi_1 + \varphi_2\right) \\ &\geq -\frac{3\sqrt{6}}{2}\rho^3 \sin\theta \cos^2\theta,\end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the right hand side of (4.6). □

Lemma 4.2. *If* (4.2) *holds, then*

$$\psi(\mathbf{W}) + \frac{3h}{8}\left(2\frac{\mathbf{H}}{h}\cdot\mathbf{W} + |\mathbf{W}|^2\right)^2 \geq 0.$$

Proof. It is convenient to express the function ψ in terms of a new set of variables for the proof of this lemma. From Lemma 4.1, we can assume WLOG that $w_2 = w_3 = 0$.

Let

$$X := \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(w_0 + 3w_4) \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\epsilon := 2\frac{\mathbf{H}}{h}\cdot\mathbf{W} + |\mathbf{W}|^2 = \frac{2}{3}w_0^2 + 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}w_0 + 2w_1^2 + 2w_4^2. \tag{4.8}$$

Substituting (4.7) and (4.8) into the right hand side of (4.5), we obtain

$$\psi(\mathbf{W}) = \frac{1}{4}\left(X^3 + 3X^2 - 3\epsilon X\right). \tag{4.9}$$

Thus, ψ reduces to a polynomial of degree three in the variables X and ϵ.

Our goal is to minimize ψ and we need to demarcate the relevant ranges for the variables X and ϵ. Note that

$$\epsilon = \left|\frac{\mathbf{H}}{h} + \mathbf{W}\right|^2 - 1 = \left|\frac{\mathbf{Q}}{h}\right|^2 - 1 \geq -1$$

by definition. We can deduce the following inequality from Equation (4.8):

$$\frac{2}{3}\left(w_0 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\right)^2 + 2w_4^2 \leq 1 + \epsilon, \tag{4.10}$$

from which it is clear that (4.10) represents a region bounded by an ellipse in the (w_0, w_4)-plane. We denote that region by Σ. Then, X can take any value between the minimum and the maximum of the function $F\colon (w_0, w_4) \mapsto \sqrt{2/3}(w_0 + 3w_4)$ over Σ. By the Lagrange multiplier theorem, at the extrema the tangent lines to the ellipse $\partial\Sigma$ have equation $\sqrt{2/3}(w_0 + 3w_4) = c$. Thus, the minimum and the maximum value of F over Σ are exactly the values of c for which the line $\sqrt{2/3}(w_0 + 3w_4) = c$ is tangent to $\partial\Sigma$. These values can be computed, e.g., by forcing the system for (w_0, w_4)

$$\begin{cases}\frac{2}{3}w_0^2 + 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}w_0 + 2w_4^2 = \epsilon \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(w_0 + 3w_4) = c\end{cases}$$

to have a unique solution. We manipulate the two relations above to conclude that

$$-1 - 2\sqrt{\epsilon + 1} \leq X \leq -1 + 2\sqrt{\epsilon + 1}. \tag{4.11}$$

Next, we minimize the right hand side of (4.9), as a function of X, in the range (4.11). We obtain

$$\psi(\mathbf{W}) \geq \psi\left(-1+\sqrt{\epsilon+1}\right) = \frac{3}{4}\epsilon + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon+1)^{3/2},$$

hence, if the condition (4.2) is satisfied

$$\psi(\mathbf{W}) + \frac{3h}{8}\left(2\frac{\mathbf{H}}{h}\cdot\mathbf{W} + |\mathbf{W}|^2\right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^2 + \frac{3}{4}\epsilon + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon+1)^{3/2} =: G(\epsilon).$$

Finally, we need to show that the function G is non negative on $[-1, +\infty)$. A standard analysis shows that G has a global minimum on $[-1, +\infty)$, which is either $\epsilon = -1$ or an interior critical point. Now, $G(-1) = 0$, and there are two critical points for G: $\epsilon = -3/4$ (which is a local maximum) and $\epsilon = 0$. Therefore, $G(\epsilon) \geq 0$ for every $\epsilon \geq -1$. □

Lemma 4.3. *For all $R > 1$ there exists a (optimal) constant $C_H(R) > 0$ such that, for all $v \in H^1_0(1, R)$, we have*

$$\int_1^R v'^2 r^2 \,\mathrm{d}r \geq C_H(R) \int_1^R v^2 \,\mathrm{d}r.$$

Moreover, $C_H(R) > 1/4$ for all $R > 1$.

Proof. We consider the following minimization problem with constraints:

$$C_H(R) := \min\left\{\int_1^R r^2 v'^2 \,\mathrm{d}r \colon v \in H^1_0(1, R),\ \int_1^R v^2 \,\mathrm{d}r = 1\right\}.$$

Using standard methods in the calculus of variations, one can check that a minimizer exists. By Lagrange's multiplier theorem, any minimizer solves the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\dfrac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left(r^2 v'(r)\right) = \lambda v(r) \\ v(1) = v(R) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

and, in particular,

$$r^2 v'' + 2rv' + \lambda r = 0.$$

This equation can be easily solved, e.g., with the change of variable $r = e^t$, $u(t) = v(r)$. One finds a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a non-trivial solution $v \not\equiv 0$ to (4.12), namely that

$$\lambda = \lambda_k(R) := \frac{k^2\pi^2}{\log R} + \frac{1}{4} \qquad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thus, the λ_k's are the eigenvalues for (4.12) and

$$C_H(R) = \lambda_1(R) = \frac{\pi^2}{\log R} + \frac{1}{4}. \tag{4.13}$$

This proves the lemma. □

The proof of Theorem 1 now follows from the previous lemmas.

Proof of Theorem 1. To prove the minimality of the hedgehog, we must show that

$$I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] \geq 0.$$

By Equation (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] \geq \int_\Omega h^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\mathbf{W}|^2 - \frac{3}{r^2}|\mathbf{W}|^2\right) \mathrm{d}V \\ + \int_\Omega h_+ h^3 \left\{\psi(\mathbf{W}) + \frac{3h}{8}\left(2\frac{\mathbf{H}}{h}\cdot\mathbf{W} + |\mathbf{W}|^2\right)^2\right\} \mathrm{d}V\,. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (4.2) and Lemma 4.2, the second integral is non negative and we obtain

$$I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] \geq \int_\Omega \frac{4}{9}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \mathbf{W}\right|^2 - \frac{3}{r^2}\left|\mathbf{W}\right|^2\right)\, \mathrm{d}V.$$

We can now write the integral using spherical coordinates, apply Fubini's theorem and Lemma 4.3 to get

$$I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] \geq \frac{4}{9}\left(\frac{1}{2}C_H(R) - 3\right)\int_\Omega \frac{1}{r^2}\left|\mathbf{W}\right|^2\, \mathrm{d}V.$$

The constant $C_H(R)$ is given explicitly by (4.13). Finally, from assumption (4.1), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}C_H(R) - 3 = \frac{\pi^2}{2\log R} - \frac{23}{8} > 0.$$

for $R < \min\{R_0, R^*\}$. Hence, we conclude that $I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] \geq 0$, with equality if and only if $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{H}$. □

5. Minimality of the Hedgehog for Large t

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2, i.e., showing that the radial-hedgehog is energy-minimizing for all $R > 1$ (independent of t) and sufficiently large t. As a preliminary step, we adapt the proof by Ignat et al. [12] and prove that the radial-hedgehog is locally stable (i.e., the second variation of the energy is positive) when the temperature t is large enough, without restriction on $R-1$. We first show that the temperature t uniformly controls the order parameter, h, for large enough $t > 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $h \in H^1(1, R)$ be a minimizer of*

$$E[h] := \int_1^R \left\{\frac{1}{2}h'^2 + \frac{3}{r^2}h^2 + \frac{t}{8}(1-h^2)^2 + \frac{h_+}{8}(1+3h^4-4h^3)\right\} r^2 \mathrm{d}r, \tag{5.1}$$

with the boundary conditions $h(1) = h(R) = 1$. Then, $0 < h \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. Moreover,

$$h \to 1 \qquad \textit{uniformly as } t \to \infty.$$

Proof. The bounds $0 < h \leq 1$ are easily established [16, 17]. Indeed, $h \geq 0$ from the energy minimality of h (refer to (5.1)). The function $h \leq 1$, as an immediate consequence of the maximum principle. We can easily prove that $h > 0$. Indeed, we assume that there exists a point r_1 such that $h(r_1) = 0$. Since we know that $h \geq 0$, r_1 must be a minimum point for h, so $h'(r_1) = 0$. Then we apply the classical well-posedness theory for Cauchy problems for ODE's and conclude that $h \equiv 0$, which contradicts the boundary conditions $h(1) = h(R) = 1$. Thus, we must have $h > 0$.

Finally, we check the uniform convergence of h as $t \to \infty$. Let $r_{\min} \in (1, R)$ be a minimum point for h. We have $h''(r_{\min}) \geq 0$ and $h'(r_{\min}) = 0$. Therefore, by Equation (3.4),

$$-\frac{6}{r_{\min}^2}h(r_{\min}) \leq f(h(r_{\min}))h(r_{\min}) \leq \frac{t}{2}\left(h^2(r_{\min}) - 1\right)h(r_{\min}).$$

We divide by $h(r_{\min}) > 0$ and obtain

$$1 - h^2(r_{\min}) \leq \frac{12}{t\, r_{\min}^2} \leq \frac{12}{t}.$$

Thus,

$$1 \geq h \geq \sqrt{1 - \frac{12}{t}} \to 1 \qquad \text{as } t \to +\infty, \text{ uniformly on } (1, R).$$

□

The second step in our analysis for large t is the study of the second variation of the energy. Recall that, given a variation $\mathbf{V} \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega;\, S_0)$ (i.e., $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{V}$), the second variation is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 I[\mathbf{H}] = \int_\Omega \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \mathbf{V}\right|^2 + \frac{1}{3} f_0(h) v_0^2 + f_2(h)(v_1^2 + v_2^2) + f_4(h)(v_3^2 + v_4^2) \right\} \,\mathrm{d}V \tag{5.2}$$

(see Equation (3.12)), where $v_0, \ldots, v_4$ are the coordinates of $\mathbf{V}$ with respect to the basis we have chosen and

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(h) &:= \frac{t}{2}\left(3h^2 - 1\right) + \frac{3h_+}{2}\left(3h^2 - 2h\right) \\ f_2(h) &:= \frac{t}{2}\left(h^2 - 1\right) + \frac{3h_+}{2}\left(h^2 - h\right) \\ f_4(h) &:= \frac{t}{2}\left(h^2 - 1\right) + \frac{3h_+}{2}\left(h^2 + 2h\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Note that f_2 coincides with the function f defined by Equation (3.5).

We want to show that the second variation is positive for every choice of $\mathbf{V}$ and large enough t. In [12], it is shown that the analysis of $\delta^2 I[\mathbf{H}]$ can be reduced to the study of the simpler functionals $\phi_{0,i}$, defined for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{0,0}[v_0] &:= \frac{2}{3}\int_1^R \left\{ |v_0{}'|^2 + \frac{6}{r^2} v_0^2 + f_0(h) v_0^2 \right\} r^2 \,\mathrm{d}r, \\ \phi_{0,i}[v_0, v_2, v_4] &:= \int_1^R \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{0,i}}{3} |v_0{}'|^2 + |v_2{}'|^2 + (\lambda_{0,i} - 2)|v_4{}'|^2 \right. \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{r^2}\left[\frac{\lambda_{0,i}(\lambda_{0,i}+6)}{3} v_0^2 + (\lambda_{0,i}+4) v_2^2 + (\lambda_{0,i}-2)^2 v_4^2 \right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. - 4\lambda_{0,i}\, v_0\, v_2 + 4(\lambda_{0,i} - 2)\, v_2\, v_4 \right] \\ &\qquad \left. + \frac{\lambda_{0,i}}{3} f_0(h) v_0^2 + f_2(h) v_2^2 + (\lambda_{0,i} - 2) f_4(h) v_4^2 \right\} r^2 \,\mathrm{d}r. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

The functions v_0, v_2 and v_4 depend on the radial variable r alone and belong to

$$H_0^1(1,\, R) = \left\{ w \in L^2([1,\, R];\, \mathrm{d}r) \colon w' \in L^2([1,\, R];\, r^2 \mathrm{d}r) \text{ and } w(1) = w(R) = 0 \right\},$$

and $\lambda_{0,i} := i(i+1)$. More precisely, in [12, Proposition 3.2] and [12, Proposition 3.4], the authors study the second variation of the Landau-de Gennes energy about the radially symmetric solution (which has an isotropic point at the origin) on all of $\mathbb{R}^3$ close to $t = 0$, with the one-constant elastic energy density, $\frac{1}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{Q}|^2$ and show that the second variation is non-negative definite if and only if the functionals

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{0,0}[v_0] &:= \frac{2}{3}\int_1^R \left\{ |v_0{}'|^2 + \frac{6}{r^2} v_0^2 + g_0(s) v_0^2 \right\} r^2 \,\mathrm{d}r, \\ \Phi_{0,i}[v_0, v_2, v_4] &:= \int_1^R \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{0,i}}{3} |v_0{}'|^2 + |v_2{}'|^2 + (\lambda_{0,i} - 2)|v_4{}'|^2 \right. \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{r^2}\left[\frac{\lambda_{0,i}(\lambda_{0,i}+6)}{3} v_0^2 + (\lambda_{0,i}+4) v_2^2 + (\lambda_{0,i}-2)^2 v_4^2 \right. \\ &\qquad\qquad \left. - 4\lambda_{0,i}\, v_0\, v_2 + 4(\lambda_{0,i} - 2)\, v_2\, v_4 \right] \\ &\qquad \left. + \frac{\lambda_{0,i}}{3} g_0(s) v_0^2 + g_2(s) v_2^2 + (\lambda_{0,i} - 2) g_4(s) v_4^2 \right\} r^2 \,\mathrm{d}r. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

are non-negative. Here v_0, v_2, v_4 are arbitrary functions of r belonging to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and g_0, g_2, g_4 are functions of an order parameter s, where s only depends on r and s vanishes at $r = 0$.

Therefore, the functions g_0, g_2, g_4 are different to the functions f_0, f_2, f_4 in Equation (5.4) (in particular, the s in Equation (5.5) is different compared to the h in Equation (5.4)). However, their method of proof only depends on the elastic energy density and hence, we can appeal to their result to reduce the study of the second variation of the radial-hedgehog solution on a bounded $3D$ shell to a study of the functionals in Equation (5.4) above.

Further, in [12, Proposition 4.1] and [12, Lemma 4.3], the authors prove that $\Phi_{0,3} \geq \Phi_{0,2}$ and $\Phi_{0,i} \geq 0$ if $\Phi_{0,0}, \Phi_{0,1} \geq 0$, for all $i \geq 4$. Again, these conclusions only rely on the gradient contributions to the second variation and are independent of g_0, g_2 and g_4 in Equation (5.5). Hence, we can transfer these results to our framework to conclude that $\phi_{0,i} \geq 0$ for all $i \geq 3$ provided that $\phi_{0,k} \geq 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$ in Equation (5.4).

Therefore, we just need to study the functionals $\phi_{0,i}$ for $i \in \{0,\, 1,\, 2\}$. To this purpose, we cannot use the same method as in [12], because in our case h has an intermediate minimum in $[1,\, R]$ and h' is not positive everywhere. Instead, we use the Hardy decomposition trick, i.e. we write the variables v_i as $v_i = hw_i$, where h is the hedgehog profile and is a classical solution of the differential equation (3.4).

Lemma 5.2. *Consider the functional*

$$\phi[v] := \int_1^R \left\{ \alpha {v'}^2 + \frac{\beta}{r^2} v^2 + \alpha \left(f(h) + \gamma \right) v^2 \right\} r^2 \, \mathrm{d}r, \tag{5.6}$$

defined for $v \in H^1_0(1,\, R)$, where $f = f_2$ is given by (3.5), h is a minimizer of (5.1), and $\alpha,\, \beta,\, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ are fixed parameters. Then ϕ can be equivalently written as

$$\phi[v] = \int_1^R \left\{ \alpha \Big(\frac{v}{h} \Big)'^2 h^2 + \frac{\beta - 6\alpha}{r^2} v^2 + \alpha \gamma v^2 \right\} r^2 \, \mathrm{d}r.$$

Proof. The proof follows from a Hardy-type trick and the calculations are similar to those employed in Theorem 3.3. The details are omitted here for brevity. □

With the help of the previous lemma, we can now complete the analysis of the second variation.

Proposition 5.3. *There exists $t_* > 0$ such that the radial-hedgehog is a locally stable equilibrium for Problem* (LG)*, for all $t \geq t_*$ and $R > 1$.*

Proof. By the previous discussion, it is enough to prove the positivity of $\phi_{0,i}$ defined above, for $i \in \{0,\, 1,\, 2\}$. Throughout the proof, we fix $v_0,\, v_2,\, v_4 \in C^\infty_c(1,\, R)$, and set $w_k := v_k / h$ for $k \in \{0,\, 2,\, 4\}$. It is not restrictive to assume that the v_k's are regular, because $C^\infty_c(1,\, R)$ is dense in $H^1_0(1,\, R)$.

Step 0 (Study of $\phi_{0,0}$)**.** We remark that in view of (5.3), we have

$$f_0(h) = f(h) + th^2 + \frac{3h_+}{2} \left(2h^2 - h \right). \tag{5.7}$$

Hence, we can apply Lemma 5.2 to $\phi_{0,0}$:

$$\frac{3}{2} \phi_{0,0}[v_0] = \int_1^R \left\{ |{w_0}'|^2 + \left(th^2 + \frac{3h_+}{2} (2h^2 - h) \right) w_0^2 \right\} h^2 r^2 \, \mathrm{d}r \,.$$

By Lemma 5.1, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $h \geq 1/2$ for $t \geq t_0$. As a consequence,

$$th^2 + \frac{3h_+}{2} (2h^2 - h) \geq \frac{1}{4} t_0 > 0$$

and $\phi_{0,0}[v_0] > 0$ when $t \geq t_0$, with equality if and only if $v_0 = 0$.

Step 1 (Study of $\phi_{0,1}$)**.** We recall the definition of $\phi_{0,1}$, noting that $\lambda_{0,1} = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{0,1}[v_0, v_2] := \int_1^R \Big\{ \frac{2}{3} |{v_0}'|^2 + |{v_2}'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{16}{3} v_0^2 + 6 v_2^2 - 8\, v_0\, v_2 \right) \\ + \frac{2}{3} f_0(h) v_0^2 + f_2(h) v_2^2 \Big\} r^2 \, \mathrm{d}r. \end{aligned}$$

With the help of (5.7), we apply Lemma 5.2 first to terms in v_0, followed by terms in v_2. We obtain

$$\phi_{0,1}[v_0, v_2] = \int_1^R \left\{ \frac{2}{3}|{w_0}'|^2 + |{w_2}'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(\frac{4}{3}w_0^2 - 8\, w_0\, w_2\right) + \frac{2}{3}\left(th^2 + \frac{3h_+}{2}(2h^2 - h)\right) w_0^2 \right\} h^2 r^2 \, \mathrm{d}r.$$

By virtue of Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\phi_{0,1}[v_0, v_2] \geq \int_1^R \left\{ \frac{2}{3}|{w_0}'|^2 + \frac{2}{3}\left(th^2 + \frac{3h_+}{2}(2h^2 - h)\right) w_0^2 \right\} h^2 r^2 \mathrm{d}r + \int_1^R \left\{ \frac{4}{3}h_{\min}^2 w_0^2 - 8|w_0\, w_2| + \frac{1}{4}h_{\min}^2 w_2^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}r,$$

where $h_{\min} := \min_{[1,\, R]} h > 0$. For $t \geq t_0$, we have $h_{\min}^2/4 \geq 1/16$ and

$$-8|w_0\, w_2| \geq -256 w_0^2 - \frac{1}{16}w_2^2 \geq -256 w_0^2 - \frac{1}{4}h_{\min}^2 w_2^2.$$

Since h converges uniformly to 1 (see Lemma 5.1), there exists some $t_1 \geq t_0$ such that, for all $t \geq t_1$ and $r \geq 1$,

$$\frac{2}{3}\left(th^2 + \frac{3h_+}{2}(2h^2 - h)\right) h_{\min}^2 r^2 + \frac{4}{3}h_{\min}^2 \geq 256.$$

We combine these inequalities to obtain $\phi_{0,1}[v_0,\, v_2] \geq 0$ for $t \geq t_1$ (with equality if and only if $v_0 = v_2 = 0$).

Step 2 (Study of $\phi_{0,2}$)**.** Recall that $\phi_{0,2}$ is given by

$$\phi_{0,2}[v_0, v_2, v_4] := \int_1^R \Big\{ 2|{v_0}'|^2 + |{v_2}'|^2 + 4|{v_4}'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(24v_0^2 + 10v_2^2 + 16v_4^2 - 24v_0v_2 + 16v_2v_4\right) + 2f_0(h)v_0^2 + f_2(h)v_2^2 + 4f_4(h)v_4^2 \Big\} r^2 \, \mathrm{d}r$$

(set $\lambda_{0,2} = 6$ in Equation (5.4)). Given that

$$f_4(h) = f(h) + \frac{9h_+}{2}h \tag{5.8}$$

and $f_0(h)$ is given by (5.7), we can apply Lemma 5.2:

$$\phi_{0,2}[v_0, v_2, v_4] = \int_1^R \Big\{ 2|{w_0}'|^2 + |{w_2}'|^2 + 4|{w_4}'|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(12w_0^2 + 4w_2^2 - 8w_4^2 - 24w_0w_2 + 16w_2w_4\right) + \left(2th^2 + 3h_+(2h^2 - h)\right) w_0^2 + 18h_+hw_4^2 \Big\} h^2 r^2 \, \mathrm{d}r.$$

Clearly, we have

$$\phi_{0,2}[v_0, v_2, v_4] \geq \int_1^R \Big\{ 2|{w_0}'|^2 + |{w_2}'|^2 + 4|{w_4}'|^2 + \left(12 + 2th^2 + 3h_+(2h^2 - h)\right) w_0^2 + 4w_2^2 + \left(18h_+h_{\min} - 8\right) w_4^2 - 24w_0w_2 + 16w_2w_4 \Big\} h^2 \, \mathrm{d}r. \tag{5.9}$$

By applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain the following inequality

$$-24w_0w_2 + 16w_2w_4 \geq -72w_0^2 - 4w_2^2 - 32w_4^2.$$

Recalling that $h \to 1$ uniformly (see Lemma 5.1), it is possible to find $t = t_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$12 + 2th^2 + 3h_+(2h^2 - h) \geq 72 \qquad \text{and} \qquad 18h_+h_{\min} - 8 \geq 32$$

for $t \geq t_2$. Hence, from (5.9), we conclude that

$$\phi_{0,2}[v_0,\, v_2,\, v_4] \geq 2\|{w_0}'\|^2_{L^2(1,\,R)} + \|{w_2}'\|^2_{L^2(1,\,R)} + 4\|{w_4}'\|^2_{L^2(1,\,R)},$$

for any $t \geq t_2$. In particular, $\phi_{0,2}[v_0,\, v_2,\, v_4] \geq 0$ and equality holds if and only if $v_0 = v_2 = v_4 = 0$.

In the previous steps, we have shown that $\phi_{0,0}$, $\phi_{0,1}$ and $\phi_{0,2}$ are positive definite in their arguments for $t \geq t_* := \max\{t_0,\, t_1,\, t_2\}$. By the results presented in [12], this is enough to prove the proposition. □

Remark 5.1. By Lemma 5.1, the function h is bounded from below by a quantity which does not depend on R (and tends to 1 as $t \to +\infty$). Therefore, t_0, t_1, t_2 and consequently, t_* can be chosen independently of R.

The same method of proof applies to the following result, which yields an improved lower bound for the second variation.

Proposition 5.4. *Let α, β be two parameters such that $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, $0 < \beta < 9/2$. There exists a $t^* \geq 1$ (depending on α, β) such that the inequality*

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta^2 I[\mathbf{H}] \geq \int_\Omega \left\{ \frac{t}{3}h^2 v_0^2 + \alpha h_+ v_0^2 + \beta h_+ \left(v_3^2 + v_4^2\right) \right\} \,\mathrm{d}V$$

holds for any $t \geq t^*$, $R > 1$ and any function $\mathbf{V} \in W^{1,2}_0(\Omega;\, S_0)$. Here the v_i's denote the components of $\mathbf{V}$ with respect to the basis $\mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{G}$, $\mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{Y}$.

Proof. Consider the quantity

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{V}] := \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 I[\mathbf{H}] - \int_\Omega \left\{ \frac{t}{3}h^2 v_0^2 + \alpha h_+ v_0^2 + \beta h_+ \left(v_3^2 + v_4^2\right) \right\} \,\mathrm{d}V.$$

Using formula (5.2) for the second variation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{V}] = \int_\Omega \Bigg\{ &\frac{1}{2}\left|\nabla \mathbf{V}\right|^2 + \frac{1}{3}\left(f_0(h) - th^2 - 3\alpha h_+\right) v_0^2 \\ &+ f_2(h)(v_1^2 + v_2^2) + \left(f_4(h) - \beta h_+\right)\left(v_3^2 + v_4^2\right) \Bigg\} \,\mathrm{d}V.\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (5.7) and (5.8), we can write

$$f_0(h) - th^2 - 3\alpha h_+ = f(h) + \frac{3h_+}{2}\left(2h^2 - h - 2\alpha\right) \tag{5.10}$$

and

$$f_4(h) - \beta h_+ = f(h) + h_+\left(\frac{9h}{2} - \beta\right). \tag{5.11}$$

Recalling that $h \to 1$ uniformly (as $t \to \infty$) by Lemma 5.1 and since we have fixed $\alpha < 1/2$, $\beta < 9/2$, we deduce that

$$\frac{3h_+}{2}\left(2h^2 - h - 2\alpha\right) \to +\infty, \qquad h_+\left(\frac{9h}{2} - \beta\right) \to +\infty \tag{5.12}$$

as $t \to +\infty$. We can now apply the same arguments as in Proposition 5.3 to the functional $\mathcal{F}$. The proof carries over almost word by word. Namely, at the end of each step 0–2, one uses the property (5.12) to absorb the negative contributions. We conclude that there exists $t^* \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}[\mathbf{V}] \geq 0 \qquad \text{for all } \mathbf{V} \in W^{1,2}_0(\Omega;\; S_0)$$

for $t \geq t^*$. □

The final ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2 is Lemma 5.5 below.

Lemma 5.5. *There exists $h_* \in (0, 1)$ such that if $h \geq h_*$ everywhere on $[1, R]$, then*

$$\varphi(\mathbf{V}) := \frac{2}{5}v_0^2 + v_3^2 + v_4^2 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}\operatorname{tr}\mathbf{V}^3 + \frac{3}{8}|\mathbf{V}|^4 + 5\left(2(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V}) + |\mathbf{V}|^2\right)^2 \geq 0$$

for every $\mathbf{V} \in S_0$, with equality if and only if $\mathbf{V} = 0$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.1, we can assume without loss of generality that $v_2 = v_3 = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(v_0, v_1, v_4) = \frac{2}{5}v_0^2 + v_4^2 + \sqrt{6}\left(v_0v_4^2 - \frac{3}{2}v_4v_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}v_0v_1^2 - \frac{1}{9}v_0^3\right)\\ + \frac{3}{8}\left(\frac{2}{3}v_0^2 + 2v_1^2 + 2v_4^2\right)^2 + 5\left(\frac{2}{3}v_0^2 + 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}hv_0 + 2v_1^2 + 2v_4^2\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

As a function of $(v_0, v_1, v_4) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, φ is smooth and bounded from below, since

$$\varphi(v_0, v_1, v_4) \geq \sqrt{6}\left(v_0v_4^2 - \frac{3}{2}v_4v_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}v_0v_1^2 - \frac{1}{9}v_0^3\right) + \frac{3}{8}\left(\frac{2}{3}v_0^2 + 2v_1^2 + 2v_4^2\right)^2 \to +\infty$$

as $\|(v_0, v_1, v_4)\| \to +\infty$. Thus, φ has a global minimum, which is also a critical point. We claim that $v_0 = v_1 = v_4 = 0$ is the unique critical point for φ, when h is sufficiently close to 1. This implies, in particular, that $v_0 = v_1 = v_4 = 0$ is a global minimum of φ and the lemma follows.

For the sake of simplicity, we denote the triplet (v_0, v_1, v_4) by (x, y, z).

Step 1 (Any critical point satisfies $y = 0$)**.** A critical point (x, y, z) is a solution of the system $\nabla\varphi = 0$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{6}\left(z^2 - \frac{y^2}{2} - \frac{x^2}{3}\right) + \frac{86}{9}x\left(x^2 + 3y^2 + 3z^2\right) + \left(\frac{4}{5} + \frac{80}{3}h^2\right)x\\ + \frac{40}{3}\sqrt{6}h\left(x^2 + y^2 + z^2\right) = 0\\ \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}y\left(-27z - 9x + 129\sqrt{6}z^2 + 129\sqrt{6}y^2 + 43\sqrt{6}x^2 + 240hx\right) = 0\\ 2\sqrt{6}xz - \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{6}y^2 + \frac{86}{3}zx^2 + 86zy^2 + 86z^3 + 2z + \frac{80}{3}\sqrt{6}hxz = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Let $y \neq 0$. Then,

$$y^2 = \frac{1}{129\sqrt{6}}\left(27z + 9x - 129\sqrt{6}z^2 - 43\sqrt{6}x^2 - 240hx\right). \tag{5.14}$$

We substitute this value of y^2 into Equation (5.13). Note that the xy^2-term in the first equation expands into several terms:

$$\frac{86}{3}xy^2 = \sqrt{6}xz + \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}x^2 - \frac{86}{3}xz^2 - \frac{86}{9}x^3 - \frac{160}{3\sqrt{6}}hx^2.$$

Thus, the cubic x^3 and xz^2-terms cancel out when we inject this expression into (5.13). Similarly, the xz^2 and z^3-terms in the third equation cancel out because

$$\frac{86}{3}zy^2 = 3\sqrt{6}z^2 + \sqrt{6}xz - 86z^3 - \frac{86}{3}x^2z - \frac{160}{\sqrt{6}}hxz.$$

So all the cubic terms in (5.13) disappear and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{329}{430}x + \frac{80}{43}hx + \frac{80}{43}h^2x - \frac{9}{86}z + \frac{120}{43}hz + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}x^2 + \sqrt{6}xz + \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{6}z^2 = 0\\ -\frac{9}{86}x + \frac{120}{43}hx + \frac{145}{86}z + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}x^2 + 3\sqrt{6}xz + \frac{9}{2}\sqrt{6}z^2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

This system can be further simplified by taking a linear combination of the two equations (we multiply the first equation by 3, the second by -1 and add the two equations). We obtain

$$516\,x + 600\,hx + 1\,200\,h^2x - 430\,z + 1\,800hz = 0$$
$$-9\,x + 240\,hx + 145\,z + 43\sqrt{6}\,x^2 + 258\sqrt{6}\,xz + +387\sqrt{6}\,z^2 = 0.$$

This is a system of second degree in $(x,\, z)$, so it can be easily solved. There are two solutions: $x = z = 0$, and $x = x_0(h)$, $z = z_0(h)$ where x_0, z_0 are algebraic functions of h:

$$x_0(h) := -\frac{125\sqrt{6}}{2} \cdot \frac{473 - 604\,h - 7\,480\,h^2 + 7\,200\,h^3}{978\,121 + 3\,560\,400\,h^2 + 3\,240\,000\,h^4}$$

$$z_0(h) := \frac{75\sqrt{6}\left(43 + 50\,h + 100\,h^2\right)\left(40\,h^2 - 32\,h - 11\right)}{978\,121 + 3\,560\,400\,h^2 + 3\,240\,000\,h^4}.$$

By substituting $x = x_0(h)$, $z = z_0(h)$ into Formula (5.14), we write y^2 as an algebraic function of h. Taking the limit as $h \to 1$, we get

$$y^2 \to -\frac{441\,133\,354\,650}{60\,505\,388\,947\,441} < 0$$

which is clearly a contradiction. Thus, there exists a value $h_0 \in (0,\, 1)$ such that any critical point of φ satisfies $y = 0$ for $h \geq h_0$.

Step 2 (Any critical point satisfies $z = 0$)**.** We set $y = 0$ in Equation (5.13):

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{6}\,z^2 - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\,x^2 + \frac{86}{9}\,x\left(x^2 + 3\,z^2\right) + \left(\frac{4}{5} + \frac{80}{3}\,h^2\right)\,x + \frac{40}{3}\sqrt{6}\,h\left(x^2 + z^2\right) = 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}z\left(3\sqrt{6} + 18\,x + 129\sqrt{6}\,z^2 + 43\sqrt{6}\,x^2 + 240\,hx\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Suppose that $z \neq 0$. Then,

$$z^2 = -\frac{1}{129}\left(3 + 3\sqrt{6}\,x + 43\,x^2 + 40\sqrt{6}\,hx\right).$$

We eliminate the variable z from (5.15) and obtain an equation for x:

$$-860\sqrt{6}\,x^2 - 4\left(1 - 600\,h + 300\,h^2\right)\,x - 15\sqrt{6} - 200\sqrt{6}\,h = 0.$$

This equation has no real root for $h = 1$. Therefore, we conclude that there exists $h_* \in (h_0,\, 1)$ such that any critical point of φ has $z = 0$ for $h \geq h_*$.

Step 3 (Conclusion)**.** Substituting $y = z = 0$ into Equation (5.13) results in an equation for x:

$$\frac{1}{45}x\left(430\,x^2 + \left(-15\sqrt{6} + 600\sqrt{6}\,h\right)\,x + 36 + 1\,200h^2\right) = 0.$$

The discriminant of the second-order factor is

$$\left(-15\sqrt{6} + 600\sqrt{6}\,h\right)^2 - 4 \cdot 430\left(36 + 1\,200\,h^2\right) = -60\,570 - 108\,000\,h + 96\,000\,h^2,$$

which is strictly negative for $0 \leq h \leq 1$. Thus, the system (5.13) has the unique solution $x = y = z = 0$ for $h \geq h_*$. □

The proof of Theorem 2 now follows.

Proof of Theorem 2. Fix a radius $R > 1$ and let h_* be given by Lemma 5.5. From Lemma 5.1, we can find τ_1 such that when $t \geq \tau_1$, the inequality $h \geq h_*$ holds for $r \in (1,\, R)$. Let τ_2 be such that

$$\frac{t}{8} \geq \frac{43}{8}h_+ \tag{5.16}$$

for $t \geq \tau_2$ (such a τ_2 exists because $h_+ \leq C\sqrt{t}$ for $t \gg 1$). Choose $\alpha = 2/5$, $\beta = 1$ and let $t^* = t^*(2/5, 1)$ be given by Proposition 5.4. Finally, set

$$\tau := \max\{\tau_1, \tau_2, t^*\}.$$

Note that τ_1, τ_2, t^* and hence τ can be chosen independently of R, by Remark 5.1. We fix $t \geq \tau$ and an admissible map $\mathbf{Q} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; S_0)$, and we write $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{V}$. From Equation (4.3), we deduce that

$$I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] = \frac{1}{2}\delta^2 I[\mathbf{H}] + \int_\Omega \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{6}h_+}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{V}^3 + \frac{t+3h_+}{8} \left(4(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V}) |\mathbf{V}|^2 + |\mathbf{V}|^4 \right) \right\} \, \mathrm{d}V.$$

Using Proposition 5.4 with $\alpha = 2/5$ and $\beta = 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] \geq \int_\Omega \Bigg\{ & \frac{2h_+}{5} v_0^2 + h_+ \left(v_3^2 + v_4^2 \right) - \frac{\sqrt{6}h_+}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{V}^3 \\ & + \frac{3h_+}{2} (\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V}) |\mathbf{V}|^2 + \frac{3h_+}{8} |\mathbf{V}|^4 + \frac{t}{8} \left(2(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V}) + |\mathbf{V}|^2 \right)^2 \Bigg\} \, \mathrm{d}V. \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

Clearly, it holds that

$$-\frac{3h_+}{2} (\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V}) |\mathbf{V}|^2 \geq -\frac{3h_+}{8} \left(2(\mathbf{H}\cdot\mathbf{V}) + |\mathbf{V}|^2 \right)^2. \tag{5.18}$$

Combining (5.17), (5.18) and (5.16), we deduce that

$$I[\mathbf{Q}] - I[\mathbf{H}] \geq h_+ \int_\Omega \varphi(\mathbf{V}) \, \mathrm{d}V,$$

where φ is the function defined in Lemma 5.5. Since $\varphi \geq 0$ and $\varphi(\mathbf{V}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{V} = 0$, the theorem follows. □

6. Conclusions

We study nematic equilibria within 3D spherical shells with Dirichlet radial conditions on both spherical concentric boundaries. We work within the Landau-de Gennes theory for nematic liquid crystals and show that this problem has a radial equilibrium, which we refer to as the radial-hedgehog solution. We define the radial-hedgehog solution by analogy with the definition on a 3D spherical droplet, as used in [7, 11] and work with temperatures below the critical nematic supercooling temperature, defined by $t \geq 0$. We focus on the local and global stability of this defect-free equilibrium. We prove that the radial-hedgehog solution is the unique global minimizer for all shell widths smaller than an explicit critical value computed in Theorem 1. In Theorem 2, we prove that the radial-hedgehog solution is the unique global minimizer for all temperatures $t \geq \tau$, for all shell widths (independent of t) and we specify the largeness of τ in Propositions 5.3 and 5.4 and Lemma 5.1. For both theorems, we control the second variation and establish conditions for local stability i.e. positivity of the second variation, by means of a Poincaré-type inequality in Theorem 1 and by adapting arguments from [12] in Theorem 2. For Theorem 2, we obtain an explicit positive lower bound for the second variation, as stated in Proposition 5.4. The final steps focus on how to control the residual terms in the energy expansions (which include quadratic residual terms from the second variation, cubic and quartic terms in the energy expansion) using the positive bounds for the second variation. Our methods will work or can be adapted to study the local and global stability of defect-free uniaxial equilibria in model geometries, where the order parameter is bounded away from zero. One physically relevant example of such a defect-free equilibrium is the Vertically Aligned State in the Zenithally Nematic Device (see [23]). Equally, these methods do give insight into how one may rigorously study the loss of stability of defect-free equilibria which might indicate the creation of defects or singularities. It is possible that defect-free equilibria lose global minimality / stability before they become unstable. The computations in Sections 4 and 5 could be useful

in tracking the global stability of defect-free equilibria as a function of model parameters and onset of competing equilibria with lower energy. This will be investigated in future work.

Acknowledgements

A.M.'s research is supported by an EPSRC Career Acceleration Fellowship EP/J001686/1 and EP/J001686/2, an OCIAM Visiting Fellowship, Royal Society International Exchange Grant and a University of Bath internationalization grant. This work started when A.M. visited M.R. in April 2013 and her visit was funded by a Royal Society International Exchange Grant. M.R. visited A.M. in November 2013 and A.M. and M.R. gratefully acknowledge funding from the Royal Society International Exchange Grant. G.C. would like to thank the Department for Mathematical Sciences, Bath, for hosting him and funding two collaborative visits whilst this work was being carried out. He is also very grateful to his Ph.D. advisor Fabrice Bethuel, for constant support and helpful advice.

References

[1] Berlyand, L. V., and Voss, K. Symmetry breaking in annular domains for a Ginzburg-Landau superconductivity model. In *IUTAM Symposium on Mechanical and Electromagnetic Waves in Structured Media* (january 1999), R. C. McPhedran, L. C. Botten, and N. A. Nicorovici, Eds., Springer-Verlag, pp. 189–200.

[2] Bethuel, F., Brezis, H., and Hélein, F. *Ginzburg-Landau Vortices*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 13. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.

[3] Canevari, G. Biaxiality in the asymptotic analysis of a 2D Landau-de Gennes model for liquid crystals. *ESAIM : Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations 21*, 1 (2015), 101–137.

[4] De Gennes, P. G., and Prost, J. *The Physics of Liquid Crystals*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1974.

[5] Ericksen, J. L. Liquid crystals with variable degree of orientation. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 113*, 2 (1990), 97–120.

[6] Evans, L. C. *Partial Differential Equations*, second ed., vol. 19 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.

[7] Gartland Jr, E. C., and Mkaddem, S. Instability of radial hedgehog configurations in nematic liquid crystals under Landau–de Gennes free-energy models. *Phys. Rev. E 59*, 1 (1999), 563–567.

[8] Gharbi, M. A., Seč, D., Lopez-Leon, T., Nobili, M., Ravnik, M., Žumer, S., and Blanc, C. Microparticles confined to a nematic liquid crystal shell. *Soft Matter 9* (2013), 6911–6920.

[9] Golovaty, D., and Berlyand, L. On uniqueness of vector-valued minimizers of the Ginzburg-Landau functional in annular domains. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. 14*, 2 (2002), 213–232.

[10] Hardt, R., Kinderlehrer, D., and Lin, F.-H. Existence and partial regularity of static liquid crystal configurations. *Comm. Math. Phys. 105*, 4 (1986), 547–570.

[11] Henao, D., and Majumdar, A. Symmetry of uniaxial global Landau-de Gennes minimizers in the theory of nematic liquid crystals. *SIAM J. Math. Anal. 44*, 5 (2012), 3217–3241. See also Corrigendum, 45 (6) (2013) 3872–3874.

[12] Ignat, R., Nguyen, L., Slastikov, V., and Zarnescu, A. Stability of the melting hedgehog in the Landau-de Gennes theory of nematic liquid crystals. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 215*, 2 (2015), 633–673.

[13] Lagerwall, J. P. F., and Scalia, G. A new era for liquid crystal research: Applications of liquid crystals in soft matter nano-, bio- and microtechnology. *Curr. Appl. Phys. 12*, 6 (2012), 1387–1412.

[14] Lamy, X. Some properties of the nematic radial hedgehog in the Landau–de Gennes theory. *J. Math. Anal. Appl. 397*, 2 (2013), 586–594.

[15] Lin, F.-H., and Liu, C. Static and dynamic theories of liquid crystals. *J. Partial Differ. Equ. 14*, 4 (2001), 289–330.

[16] Majumdar, A. Equilibrium order parameters of nematic liquid crystals in the Landau-de Gennes theory. *Eur. J. Appl. Math. 21*, 2 (2010), 181–203.

[17] Majumdar, A. The radial-hedgehog solution in Landau-de Gennes' theory for nematic liquid crystals. *Eur. J. Appl. Math. 23*, 1 (2012), 61–97.

[18] Majumdar, A., and Zarnescu, A. Landau-De Gennes theory of nematic liquid crystals: the Oseen-Frank limit and beyond. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 196*, 1 (2010), 227–280.

[19] Millot, V., and Pisante, A. Symmetry of local minimizers for the three-dimensional Ginzburg-Landau functional. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. 12*, 5 (2010), 1069–1096.

[20] Mottram, N. J., and Newton, C. Introduction to Q-tensor theory. Tech. Rep. 10, Department of Mathematics, University of Strathclyde, 2004.

[21] Nelson, D. R. Toward a tetravalent chemistry of colloids. *Nano Letters 2*, 10 (2002), 1125–1129.

[22] Pisante, A. Two results on the equivariant Ginzburg-Landau vortex in arbitrary dimension. *J. Funct. Anal. 260*, 3 (2011), 892–905.



FIGURE 1. A plot of of the function G.

[23] RAISCH, A., AND MAJUMDAR, A. Order reconstruction phenomena and temperature-driven dynamics in a 3D zenithally bistable device. *EPL (Europhysics Letters) 107*, 1 (July 2014).

[24] SCHOPOHL, N., AND SLUCKIN, T. J. Defect core structure in nematic liquid crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett. 59* (1987), 2582–2584.

[25] SEČ, D., LOPEZ-LEON, T., NOBILI, M., BLANC, C., FERNANDEZ-NIEVES, A., RAVNIK, M., AND ŽUMER, S. Defect trajectories in nematic shells: Role of elastic anisotropy and thickness heterogeneity. *Phys. Rev. E 86* (2012), 020705.

[26] SONNET, A., KILIAN, A., AND HESS, S. Alignment tensor versus director: Description of defects in nematic liquid crystals. *Phys. Rev. E 3* (1995), 2.

[27] VIRGA, E. G. *Variational Theories for Liquid Crystals*, vol. 8 of *Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Computation*. Chapman & Hall, London, 1994.

(G. Canevari) SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉS, UPMC UNIV PARIS 06, CNRS, UMR 7598, LABORATOIRE JACQUES-LOUIS LIONS, 4 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005 PARIS, FRANCE.
E-mail address: canevari@maths.ox.ac.uk

(M. Ramaswamy) TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, CENTRE FOR APPLICABLE MATHEMATICS, SHARADA NAGAR, CHIKKABOMMASANDRA, BANGALORE 560065, INDIA.
E-mail address: mythily@math.tifrbng.res.in

(A. Majumdar, corresponding author) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BATH, CLAVERTON DOWN, BATH BA2 7AY, UNITED KINGDOM.
E-mail address: a.majumdar@bath.ac.uk